INCOME DIFFERENCES AND HEALTH CARE EXPENDITURES OVER THE LIFE CYCLE

Serdar Ozkan

Federal Reserve Board

August 5, 2011

How do the low- and high-income households differ in the lifetime profile of medical expenditures (consumption)?



FIGURE: Average Medical Spending of Bottom Income Quntile Relative to Top Income Quintile

- How do the low- and high-income households differ in the lifetime profile of medical expenditures (consumption)?
- Why do they differ?

- How do the low- and high-income households differ in the lifetime profile of medical expenditures (consumption)?
- Why do they differ?
- Why is it important?
 - The ObamaCare aims to reduce the disparities in health outcomes.
 - Expanding health insurance coverage to the poor.
 - Private insurance firms will provide basic preventive services free of charge.

OUTLINE

- Empirical Facts on Differences in Health Care Usage
- A Life-Cycle Model of Health Capital
- Calibration/Estimation
- Counter-Factual Policy Experiments

Empirical Facts on Differences in Health Care Usage

1. Medical spending of the poor relative to the rich exhibits humped-shaped pattern over the lifecycle.

Empirical Facts on Differences in Health Care Usage

1. Medical spending of the poor relative to the rich exhibits humped-shaped pattern over the lifecycle.

2. The distribution of medical expenditures of the poor is more widely spread to the tails.

Empirical Facts on Differences in Health Care Usage



Empirical Facts on Differences in Health Care Usage

1. Medical spending of the poor relative to the rich exhibits humped-shaped pattern over the lifecycle.

2. The distribution of medical expenditures of the poor is more widely spread to the tails.

- A higher fraction of the poor does not incur any medical expenditures in a year (24% vs 10%).
- Health care spending of the poor is more extreme.
- 3. The poor use less preventive care.

Empirical Facts on Differences in Health Care Usage

1. Medical spending of the poor relative to the rich exhibits humped-shaped pattern over the lifecycle.

2. The distribution of medical expenditures of the poor is more widely spread to the tails.

- A higher fraction of the poor does not incur any medical expenditures in a year (24% vs 10%).
- Health care spending of the poor is more extreme.
- 3. The poor use less preventive care.
- 4. The life expectancy of the poor is dramatically shorter.

A LIFE-CYCLE MODEL OF HEALTH CAPITAL

- 1. Two distinct types of health capital
 - Physical health capital determines the survival probability
 - Preventive health capital governs the distribution of health shocks
 - Endogenous distribution of health shocks, thereby endogenous life expectancy.

A LIFE-CYCLE MODEL OF HEALTH CAPITAL

- 1. Two distinct types of health capital
- 2. Important features of the US health care system
 - Non-elderly are offered private health insurance with copayment and deductible.
 - Endogenous insurance premia.
 - Children of the poor are covered by Medicaid
 - All elderly are covered by Medicare.
 - In case of severe health shocks, default is allowed.

A LIFE-CYCLE MODEL OF HEALTH CAPITAL

- 1. Two distinct types of health capital
- 2. Important features of the US health care system
- 3. Government budget balances
 - Progressive US tax scheme on income
 - Finances social security, Medicaid, Medicare
 - Budget surplus or deficit is distributed in a lump sum fashion

ESTIMATE MODEL USING MICRO AND MACRO DATA

1. Set some of the parameter values outside of the model

- income process
- deductible co-payment coverage schemes, etc.

ESTIMATE MODEL USING MICRO AND MACRO DATA

- 1. Set some of the parameter values outside of the model
- 2. Match model moments to data moments
 - From the MEPS
 - Distribution of medical expenditures
 - Differences in the lifetime profile of health care spending
 - From aggregate data
 - Age profile of conditional survival probability
 - Differences in life expectancy between the rich and the poor
 - Wealth to income ratio, etc.

- Government provides all non-elderly private health insurance.
- To finance this policy an additional flat income tax is imposed on household income.
- All elderly are still covered by Medicare.

COUNTER-FACTUAL POLICY ANALYSIS

UNIVERSAL HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE

TABLE: Life Expectancy

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
Benchmark	71.95	75.2	76.3	76.5	76.8
Policy I	73.2	75.3	76.3	76.5	76.8

- Aggregate medical spending increases by only 0.8%
- Per capita medical expenditures increase from \$4750 to \$4755

COUNTER-FACTUAL POLICY ANALYSIS

UNIVERSAL HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE

- Health insurance premia decrease 2.5% for 30-year old and younger.
- Increase 1.5% for older than 30.

Welfare Analysis

$$\mathbb{E}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\beta^{t-1}\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}}-\omega_{t})\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{c}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}},\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}}-\omega_{t})=\mathbb{E}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\beta^{t-1}\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}}-\omega_{t})\boldsymbol{u}(\phi\boldsymbol{c}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}},\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}}-\omega_{t})$$

Welfare Analysis

$$\mathbb{E}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\beta^{t-1}\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}}-\omega_{t})\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{c}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}},\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}}-\omega_{t})=\mathbb{E}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\beta^{t-1}\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}}-\omega_{t})\boldsymbol{u}(\phi\boldsymbol{c}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}},\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}}-\omega_{t})$$

Welfare Analysis

$$\mathbb{E}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\beta^{t-1}\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}}-\omega_{t})\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{c}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}},\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}}-\omega_{t})=\mathbb{E}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\beta^{t-1}\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}}-\omega_{t})\boldsymbol{u}(\phi\boldsymbol{c}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}},\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}}-\omega_{t})$$

 1/3 of welfare gains are due to the increase in life expectancy

Welfare Analysis

$$\mathbb{E}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\beta^{t-1}\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\omega_{t})\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{c}_{t}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}},\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\omega_{t})=\mathbb{E}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\beta^{t-1}\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\boldsymbol{\rho}}-\omega_{t})\boldsymbol{u}(\phi\boldsymbol{c}_{t}^{\boldsymbol{\rho}},\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\boldsymbol{\rho}}-\omega_{t})$$

▶
$$1 - \phi = 1.5\%$$

 1/3 of welfare gains are due to the increase in life expectancy

TABLE: Welfare Gains, $1 - \phi$

	Bottom 2%	Median	Top 2%
Policy I w.r.t Benchmark	0.6%	2.1%	-0.88%

- Mammograms, colonoscopies, cervical screenings, and treatment for high blood pressure etc.
- Patients will still have to pay for doctor visits.
- Not all preventive care is covered

COUNTER-FACTUAL POLICY ANALYSIS Free Basic Preventive Care

- Mammograms, colonoscopies, cervical screenings, and treatment for high blood pressure etc.
- Patients will still have to pay for doctor visits.
- Not all preventive care is covered
- Policy Experiment: Private insurance pays 75% of preventive care expenditures.

- Mammograms, colonoscopies, cervical screenings, and treatment for high blood pressure etc.
- Patients will still have to pay for doctor visits.
- Not all preventive care is covered
- Policy Experiment: Private insurance pays 75% of preventive care expenditures.
- Policy change takes place in universal health insurance economy

 Fraction of preventive spending in total health care expenditures increase from 22% to 39%.

 Fraction of preventive spending in total health care expenditures increase from 22% to 39%.

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
Benchmark	71.95	75.2	76.3	76.5	76.8
Policy I	73.2	75.3	76.3	76.5	76.8
Policy II	74.65	75.9	76.5	76.6	76.8

TABLE: Life Expectancy

 Fraction of preventive spending in total health care expenditures increase from 22% to 39%.

TABLE: Life Expectancy

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
Benchmark	71.95	75.2	76.3	76.5	76.8
Policy I	73.2	75.3	76.3	76.5	76.8
Policy II	74.65	75.9	76.5	76.6	76.8

- Aggregate medical spending DOES NOT increase!
- Per capita medical expenditures decrease from \$4755 to \$4738.

Welfare Analysis

$$\mathbb{E}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\beta^{t-1}\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}}-\omega_{t})\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{c}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}},\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}}-\omega_{t})=\mathbb{E}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\beta^{t-1}\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}}-\omega_{t})\boldsymbol{u}(\phi\boldsymbol{c}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}},\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}}-\omega_{t})$$

Welfare Analysis

$$\mathbb{E}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\beta^{t-1}\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}}-\omega_{t})\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{c}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}},\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{B}}-\omega_{t})=\mathbb{E}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\beta^{t-1}\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}}-\omega_{t})\boldsymbol{u}(\phi\boldsymbol{c}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}},\boldsymbol{h}_{t}^{\mathcal{P}}-\omega_{t})$$

TABLE: Welfare Gains, $1 - \phi$

	Bottom 2%	Median	Top 2%
Policy I w.r.t Benchmark	0.6%	2.1%	-0.88%
Policy II w.r.t Benchmark	0.35%	3.13%	-1.2%
Policy II w.r.t Policy I	-0.24%	1.105%	-0.29%

CONCLUSION

- Subtle differences in the lifetime profile of medical expenditures between low and high income groups.
 - The young rich spend more on health care whereas medical spending of the old poor is larger in absolute terms.

CONCLUSION

- Subtle differences in the lifetime profile of medical expenditures between low and high income groups.
 - The young rich spend more on health care whereas medical spending of the old poor is larger in absolute terms.
- Public insurance (Medicaid, Medicare, default option) can explain these differences:
 - enables the poor to incur medical spending higher than their income.
 - hampers incentives of the poor to use preventive care.

CONCLUSION

- Subtle differences in the lifetime profile of medical expenditures between low and high income groups.
 - The young rich spend more on health care whereas medical spending of the old poor is larger in absolute terms.
- Public insurance (Medicaid, Medicare, default option) can explain these differences:
 - enables the poor to incur medical spending higher than their income.
 - hampers incentives of the poor to use preventive care.
- Policies encouraging the use of health care by the poor early in life have significant welfare gains.