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Introduction

Homeownership is one of the largest sources of retirement wealth for most households and is promoted as a key tool for wealth accumulation. However, a long history of discrimination in the housing market has constrained the ability of Black households to accumulate housing wealth relative to their White counterparts. Consequently, Black households approaching retirement are less likely to own homes and, when they do, they see lower wealth accumulation compared to White homeowners. This brief, which is based on a recent paper, focuses on the homeowners.

The goal is to determine what share of the age-55 housing wealth gap is due to disadvantage at the time of first purchase – namely, less parental assistance with the mortgage down payment – and what share is due to slower appreciation of subsequent housing wealth? To isolate the impact of these factors, the analysis compares older Black and White homeowners who seem equally able to accumulate housing wealth based on their socioeconomic characteristics, but who still ended up with different outcomes.

The discussion proceeds as follows. The first section provides background on how older Black families faced disadvantage in nearly every aspect of the housing market. The second section outlines the data and methodology used to evaluate the racial housing wealth gap over the lifecycle for otherwise similar homeowners. The third section presents the results, which show that both factors – disparities at first purchase and subsequent appreciation – play an important role in explaining the gap at age 55. The final section concludes that future research should consider how structural changes in the housing market over the past 30 years might have alleviated some barriers for younger homebuyers.

Background

The explanation for the racial housing wealth gap among households approaching retirement begins early in the lifecycle. Lower household incomes hinder the ability of Black families to save for a down payment and access the financial services needed to purchase a first home. Even among households with similar income, Black families often lack generational wealth, making them less likely to receive assistance from their parents on a down payment. Previous studies find that young White households are three times as likely as Black households to receive parental...
assistance for a home purchase, resulting in a higher mortgage approval rate and facilitating homeownership at a slightly younger age. Moreover, older Black households faced pervasive discrimination in mortgage lending during the 1980s and 1990s, although recent evidence shows that discriminatory lending has largely abated due to increased regulation and widespread adoption of automated underwriting systems.

When Black households eventually transition into homeownership, they are constrained to purchase less valuable first homes. In addition to having a smaller down payment, Black households are rarely able to purchase homes with the same amenities as White households because of historical redlining that pushed Black families into segregated neighborhoods with less public investment. Residential segregation not only limits the purchase price of Black homes, but also depresses subsequent growth in the value of the house. Since families typically live in the same house for long periods, slower appreciation leads to much lower compounding and ultimately, lower housing values.

Finally, Black households are more likely to sell or lose their home, a phenomenon that was particularly stark during the financial crisis of 2008. Indeed, one recent study argues that disparities in distressed home sales explain most of the racial gap in appreciation rates. However, since the goal here is to evaluate the racial housing wealth gap between homeowners approaching retirement, families who lose their house drop out of our sample entirely.

Given that Black households face disadvantage in nearly every aspect of the housing market, this brief asks a simple question: what share of the housing wealth gap among older Black and White homeowners is due to disparities at the time of first purchase, and what share is due to slower appreciation over the course of homeownership?

Data and Methodology

This analysis relies on the Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID), a nationally representative survey conducted since 1968, which includes extensive information on homeownership and housing wealth accumulation, and race. The analysis focuses on Black and White households who buy their first home between 1980-2000 and follows them through 2019, limiting the sample to those who are still homeowners at age 55.

The goal is to compare older Black and White homeowners who, based on their own socioeconomic characteristics, might seem equally well situated to accumulate housing wealth. The extent to which Black households fall short in this comparison reflects two sources of structural disadvantage: the lack of parental assistance and persistent discrimination in the housing market. The analysis regresses housing wealth at initial purchase and age 55 (measured in logs and net of mortgage debt) on household income and other demographic characteristics:

\[
\text{Housing wealth} = f(\text{Black}, \log \text{income}, \text{household demographics})
\]

The independent variable of interest is the Black indicator, which reflects the racial gap in housing wealth holding income and demographics constant. To present the results from these regressions in an intuitive way, the coefficients are used to simulate housing wealth for hypothetical Black and White families who are assumed to have the same characteristics (chosen to be representative of the PSID sample).

Results

To set the stage for the main results, Figure 1 compares housing wealth at age 55 for the hypothetical Black and White households. As expected, after controlling for
income and basic demographics, Black homeowners approaching retirement have only 61 percent of the housing wealth held by older White homeowners.

To understand the drivers of this gap, the first step is to consider housing market experiences in young adulthood. Initial housing wealth is simply the household’s down payment (first house price less mortgage principal); as expected, Black households approached the housing market with fewer resources to invest. Specifically, initial housing wealth for Black households was only 74 percent of initial wealth for their otherwise-similar White counterparts (see Figure 2).

Because of this resource deficit, Black households ended up buying less expensive first homes than White households. They also bought them slightly later (see first row of Table 1). Consequently, Black families had a little less time to see their houses grow in value and pay down their debt before age 55.

Not only did older Black homeowners start from a weaker position, but their housing wealth also grew more slowly over time. The second row of Table 1 calculates the internal rate of return that, when applied to the down payment, yields housing wealth at age 55. For both Black and White homeowners, this internal rate of return exceeds the annual appreciation rate of the house itself (around 2 percent during this period) because housing is a highly leveraged investment. Nevertheless, Black housing wealth grew 0.7 percentage points slower per year. Compounded over two decades, this annual appreciation penalty explains most of the cumulative difference in the growth of housing wealth.

From a policy perspective, one might wonder what caused such a stark difference in appreciation: weak growth in the value of Black-owned homes, or slower amortization of mortgage debt? Hence, Figure 3 breaks wealth into its components: the asset value of the house and the remaining mortgage principal. On the asset side, the value of Black-owned homes grew by only 40 percent (from $126,000 to $176,000), compared to 77 percent for homes owned by White households (from $149,000 to $264,000). On the debt side, remaining mortgage principal dropped by 11 percent for Black households, but actually grew slightly for White households between the time of first purchase and age 55.

### Table 1. Simulated Age at First Purchase and Annualized Appreciation Rate of Housing Wealth, by Race, 1980-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at first purchase</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annualized appreciation rate</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Results are adjusted to control for household income and basic demographics.
Source: Authors’ estimates from the PSID (1980-2019).
The growth in debt for White households may seem surprising given that, by age-55, households had been making mortgage payments for two decades. It turns out that many older households traded their “starter home” for a larger, more valuable house with higher appreciation, and financed the purchase with additional debt. Black households were 26 percent less likely to take advantage of this leveraged upsizing. And when they did, they borrowed less. In addition, older White households were more likely to refinance their original mortgage as interest rates fell, and some of these transactions were cash-out refinances. Although they are infrequently discussed in the literature on mortgage lending, both of these factors suggest that racial barriers to accessing credit persisted over the lifecycle for this cohort of older homeowners.

Ultimately, the goal of this brief is to determine what share of the age-55 wealth gap is driven by initial disparities, and what share is due to subsequent appreciation. So as a final exercise we conduct a thought experiment: how large would the age-55 gap have been had Black households started off with the same initial housing wealth as White households, but then subsequently earned their actual, lower appreciation rate? Figure 4 presents the results, with initial disparities accounting for 53 percent of the actual gap and slower appreciation generating the other 47 percent. However, the exact share is sensitive to how the thought experiment is framed.

Conclusion

Black families face disadvantage in nearly every aspect of the housing market. Consequently, Black households approaching retirement are less likely to own homes than White households; and even among homeowners with similar household income and demographics, older Black households have less housing wealth than their White counterparts. Hence, this brief addressed a simple question: what share of the housing wealth gap at age 55 is due to adverse experiences at the time of first purchase – namely, fewer resources to support a down payment – and what share is due to slower appreciation in subsequent years? Although the exact answer is somewhat sensitive to the details of the analysis, the conclusion is clear – each factor contributed meaningfully to the age-55 gap.

Closing the housing wealth gap is a complex problem to solve. However, in at least one aspect of the housing market – mortgage lending – conditions seem to be improving for young Black homebuyers. Hence, future research should consider how structural changes in the housing market over the past 30 years could reduce the age-55 housing wealth gap moving forward.

Figure 4. Share of the Age-55 Housing Wealth Gap Explained by Initial Disparities and Subsequent Appreciation, 1980-2019

| Source: Authors’ estimates from the PSID (1980-2019). |
|---|---|
| Initial disparities, 53% |
| Subsequent appreciation, 47% |

Note: Results are adjusted to control for household income and basic demographics.
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